1868-95

P105

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895-96

191 .

(mund)

Later references.

File No. 16-95

Serial Nos. 1-179
19-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

~~Part~~ B for major Jany 1898

SUBJECT.

Limit of the Southern boundary of the bungalow, Westward - HO owned by Seshachela Modaliar, Bangalore

(9) 21 Nov 1891

My dear Mr. Bucyrus

I remember to have heard the report you call for a few days ago. I am sorry to say it has not been despatched to me as yet

Yours truly
Minnie Gay

Jayne in the Chair

Do we get this report? It's
abt Local Courts case Enquire
about to find Def's Addr

PD 22/10/1 7/10

General Business

Please put up the rep

22/10/95

MEMO OF PAPERS CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS.

SUBJECT.

The First Assistant to the Resident's letter No 4716 of 25 November 1895 regarding the Southern boundary of the bungalow called "Westward Ho" owned by Seshachela Mudr & occupied by Brigadier-Genl Gosset — with L.B. File No 68 of 1895. —

By order,

2 December 1895 For General Secretary

Ramdharma Pravina
T. R. A. Thumboo Chetty, Esq., C.I.E. — Seen by the Hon'ble Councillor

P. S. Krishna Murti, Esq. 3/12/95

Khan Bahadur Abdul Rahman, Esq.
I think that the case is the subject of the two Councillors

The Deputy Legal Secy. Back to Division, as it stands
9/12/95

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1950

My dear Sir.

The file regarding General Gossett's Landlord's house has been returned by the Legal Councillor who proposes to hear the case at 1 P.M on Thursday the day after tomorrow. I submit the file as directed in your note of the 18th instant and beg to draw your attention to the 2nd Councillor's note desiring



Have it before the date
any the Revenue
Party may be asked to
issue the necessary
to the municipal
residents + the owners
appear on Thursday at
P. M if that time is
not inconsistent with
your convenience.

Yours truly
J. Parnwell Jr
J. R. A. Thurber Chatham Co
pro Council

To Assistant Secretary. Kindly add the necessary
notice to cause to the owners and the municipal
Residents and the owners as directed by
the Council. The Case is to be heard Thursday
the 11th inst.
4/12/95

Resolutions instructing Secretary to notify owners
Notice have the notices posted 4/13
by 12 Noon before 4/17

Note

DF

In future known as
Westwood No 40 " was purchased

Tangalore - 22nd Dec 1895

Dear Mr Thumboo Chetty.

Mr Sachuchellum Mudaliar has been to my house this morning & I have explained to him the compromise as regards the hire of the Wall. Which you proposed Yesterday Evening

He says that the ~~boundary of~~ Southern boundary of the Compound, has always been, even since the Road was made, in a line from the Gate Pillar to the Stone which is against the Semethangee near the hedge, as shown in Survey No 17

He therefore claims to have a legal right to include this strip of land in his property & to construct

Wall between these two points

as regards the Question which you raised yesterday evening - "Why if this real boundary as as claimed by Sabnchellum Muthelliar was a hedge planted twenty back from this line" - he states - that before the road was made, there was a Mangoe Tope - with a deep drain running through it. & the hedge was planted inside this drain - Subsequently, by the Govt
another drain was made "on the edge of the road, but no steps were taken by the landlord to plant a fresh hedge on the real boundary or build a wall until I asked him to do it, at the beginning of

Note

The property known as
Westwood 40 was purchased

this year It seems to me that the
whole question hinges on, whether the
boundary Stones are so placed as to
include the actual area, which by the
Government Survey the landlord is entitled to -
The solution to this appears simple
enough & a reference to Adams
Count ought to decide it

It is with the knowledge that
the boundary claimed is the correct
one that Mrs Mundy is not
willing to accept any other & he
maintains that at no time has there
been any encroachment on Government
land

Yours truly
Matt Jasset
Wilson

Note

1 The property known as Westward Ho' was purchased by the present proprietors father Arnachellum Muthu on 1st August 1870

2 According to the Revenue Survey, which took place in 1869, the area of the premises (S No 17) was 10 acres.

3 To the south of No 17 there was S No 22 a portion of which was acquired by Government and a road formed called the "new road leading to the Infantry Lines" It is now called Cunningham Road".

4 The papers connected with the acquisition of the land for the said road are not forthcoming.

5 As the Revenue Survey referred to in para 2, took place subsequent to the formation of the road, it must be conceded that no portion of S No. 17 (10 acres) was taken up for the road or included in it.

6 In May 1895 the Proprietor of the 'Westward Ho" obtained the permission of the Municipality to raise a wall on the southern side according to the plan (D E) furnished

to him by the Municipality After he had caused the foundations to be raised up to basement he was on 1st June 1895 directed to stop the work On 1st July 1895 the permission granted in May was revoked and on 3rd September 1895 he was furnished with a revised plan and told that the wall must be erected beginning from the outside Southern Pillar of the Western gate and in alignment with the Kutcherry wall towards the East and in accordance with the line marked out in plan giving him 725 feet according to his sale deed He was further directed to shew cause why the encroachment of a triangular piece on the South East portion of the Bungalow should not be removed by the Board It is against this order the present appeal has been preferred -

7 A personal inspection of the triangular piece in question will shew, that it is included within the Survey boundary of "Woodward Ho" and the Hedge now standing by the Roadside admittedly belongs to the Respondent -

8 Colonial Secretary's Memo dated 4 September 1895 No 500

shews that the measurer, &c.,
subsequent to the order of the
Vice President dated 20th August 1895
proceeded to the spot and verified
the original measurements, was so to speak
that the boundaries as at present
existing are exactly as they were
at the time of the original measure-
ment.- This disproves the allegation
that there was an encroachment
on Municipal land

9 It is true that according
to the sale deed the Southern measure-
ment is 725 ft, whereas according
to the Survey plan marked C, the
correctness of which is certified by
Colonel Grant, it is 800 ft The
plan shews there are four boundary
stones on the Southern
the measurements between them
being as follows —

From the 1st boundary stone near
the Gateway to 2nd boundary stone 441 ft
From the 2nd to the 3rd boundary stone 175 ft
From the 3rd to the 4th boundary stone 184 ft
Total 800 ft

These measurements are included
within the 10 acres to which the
prospector is entitled- It must here
be remarked, that the sale deed
gives both the boundaries and the
measurements; the latter a[p]
approximately, as the words
"or thereabouts" are used- It
has been often held that

There is a description of land in a Deed of Sale, setting forth the boundaries and also specifying the quantity of land, it is considered to be a mere false declaration if there is an error in the quantity and the real conveyance would be of the land within the boundaries. See IX B L R 169 III Hyde L R page 58.

10 It has been shewn before that, subsequent to the formation of the Road, S. No 17 was demarkated fixing its area as 10 Acres. This extent apparently excludes the Road; and if, as now reported, the boundary stones originally laid are intact and include the 800 ft. on the Northern side, as shewn in Plan C, within the 10 acres, there has been no encroachment made to justify the revocation of the licence granted in May 1895.

11 It is said that the Municipality has been stacking road materials on the vacant ground in dispute and that if the Hedge now standing beyond the disputed side was not the extreme limit of the compound of the "Westmoreland" such use as the Municipality made, for stacking the road materials would have been disputed. It cannot be denied that such temporary user is common in a Municipality and under [illegible]

particular attention. It is not the intention to decide, or understood as denoting a claim to the ownership of the land, and it would be wrong to hold that a claim by adverse possession has been made out by acts of such flimsy and purely temporary character. See I.L.R XVII Bombay 338. It is hard that the Proprietor of the "Westward Ho", who according to the Survey Settlement is clearly entitled to 10 acres, should be deprived of any portion thereof simply because he has a tree standing a few feet beyond the Survey boundary. The order of the Municipality refusing permission to the Petitioner to raise his southern wall along the Survey Boundary line should be set aside.

I have carefully considered their papers -

The Municipality has apparently nothing tangible to go upon - The only circumstance which is in its favour is the line of the present hedge which certainly indicates the boundary line of Sethachela Mudaliar's actual enjoyment. I am not prepared to view the user of the ground outside this hedge by the Municipality as being permissive or temporary Sethachela Mudaliar or his predecessor in title bounded the limits of his own land by the hedge and ceased to exercise any acts of ownership even if he had any beyond it. The Municipality began to use it openly & continuously and such user cannot but be deemed as adverse to the Petitioner

[illegible]

~~[illegible]~~

This argument only applies up to where the present Kitchen stands. Beyond that boundary the hedge line obviously takes in a bit of the ground which admittedly is outside the survey measurements, or measurements recorded in the Vicar's deed of sale on which he apparently relies. The argument fuses in this part of the ground both the Municipality and renders it helpless to recover any ground which is outside the hedge.

Indeed by the fact of surveyment the hedge line appears to be the line along which the proposed wall may be built. The existing excavation, & the filling in of the foundations are clearly beyond such line & this Municipality is not wrong in preventing the erection of the wall along that line - But it

is necessary however that the
Municipality ought not to have
issued a license for the work
and care is necessary to be exercised
in such matters.

The Municipality takes its
stand upon the measurement
recorded in Sethachela Mudaliar's
document, according to which
the northern dimension from west
to east is only 725 feet. This is obviously
wrong. The measurement joins
in a sharp obtuse
no where, with the Eastern line of
the boundary and the suggestion
of the municipality to connect
the terminal point of this length
of 725 feet with the Eastern line by
an oblique line leaving as it does,
a triangular space with a base
line on the roadside of 78 feet in
length does not commend itself to
me. There is not the record which shows
that between Sethachela Mudaliar's
property + that now owned by M
Raghavachandri there was any ground
belonging to the municipality - It
is suggested that this is the space

allotted for Muller's Tank & Feeder
but according to the Survey plan
this feeder does, however does not
seem to be entirely situated in
Sherbachala ground -
Unless there has been much en-
-croachment on that property
also this suggestion does not carry
any weight when compared to
Sherbachala Moodliars' landlore
We have no evidence or allegation
that there has been any encroach
ment - there & the fact becomes
of little or no value when it is
borne in mind that the occupation
as at present indicated by the
walls hedges, is of more than
twelve years standing

The Municipality has not
shown that Sherbachella Mudliars'
property underwent any diminution
on account of the formation of the
road and as his enjoyment very
nearly coincides with the adverse
two moments of both the Municipal
Surveyor & that of the Survey depart
I think it best to confirm the present enjoy
to Sherbachala Mudr and direct him to

corporate boundary of the Municipality

line

The visits in the survey line of measurement to be adopted shall not only be to comply with this agreement but he will have liberty upon the part of his Excellency all the space enclosed by the red dotted line and the Municipality even according to this present limitation of the wall is a clear encroachment by the Municipality

January 15 Municipality Limits
1896

I am very doubtful as to whether a committee of the Council has any legal jurisdiction over this matter. The decision must be either that of the whole Council or the Dewan's because whatever the matter upon the Council, or it must be dealt with as a departmental matter by the Municipal members alone of the Dewan & such members we had to attend the meeting of Sethachela Moodeliar to a proposal more adept in accordance with the

decision Maine recorded but he stands upon what he calls his own rights - I may record however the fact that General Sossett regarded ~~as~~ our proposal as unreasonable.

15/1/96

23. 1. 96 23

My dear [illegible] Estradon Syn-

I have decided Monday 1st
next upon your at 2 3/4 P.M. to
tomorrow and explain matters.
Your note told me for the
first time what the real
point of dispute in this case
Lands of the description of Bungalow
compounds as in the present
instance are practically
excepted from the Survey
Settlement by not being
assessed, in the point of Sec 106
of the M. L. R. C. the existence
of a hedge between No 17 and
22 at time of original lease
being proved, I do not think
the owner of No 17 can
claim to go beyond the
hedge because the stones

Probably for comment v. 4.6.
erected outside the [illegible],

otherwise what was [illegible]
meaning and object of
hedge? Properly speaking
the hedge should [illegible]
considered supplement-
-cation and not [illegible]
at all should [illegible]
just up. So far as
understand the [illegible]
what the [illegible]
can do is to substitute
wall for live hedge
Mr Mackay wrote [illegible]
about you [illegible]
whether there was a model
a not of the [illegible]
original measurements
I return the [illegible]
as you may
want them



the price to Mrs Mackay

I can write Shakespeare
and then -
I can go out and
[illegible]
to place this and
new accounts in proper
-portion

Yours very truly









Residency letter № 3511 of 7 Sept 95

Regarding General Gossett's Complaint of some boundary dispute between his Landlord and the Bangalore Mun.s

On the 5th instant I again inspected the Southern boundary of Westward Ho! ~~and afterwards~~ with the Dep.y Cant., the Vice President & Mem.t Engr. — The Subhanuyer was present as requested by me to help in the alignment of a suitable Compound wall — The decision arrived at was that the boundary line should begin at the Eastern angle of the Southern post of the S. W Gate and proceed in a Straight line to the Centre of the Western post ~~post~~ of the South Gate; & from the Centre of the Eastern post of the South gate to the S. W ~~to the~~ Corner of Mr.s Raghavachari's Compound following the present line of hedge generally, the hedge being set back where it is irregular —

Mr. Seshachella Mud.r has accepted the Settlement


N. B. The Centre line of the
Compound wall to be the
boundary line between
Westward Ho! & the
Bangalore Municipality
as shown in red on the
accompanying plan —
The line is marked
by a row of
stones —

as above and has agreed to
build a suitable wall, ~~in accordance with a design~~
The height should be within
the prescribed maximum -
Regarding the design I would
impose no conditions but will
leave it to Mr Sherbrooke
Mundy himself and he has
promised to follow in this
matter Mr Subbarayan's advice -

The amicable settlement as
above is satisfactory in every
way and the Dy Comm^r
has been asked to give it
immediate effect - General
Gossett is satisfied with it
~~the settlement~~ and has written
to thank me for it.

Kotharbrooke Jyer

Feby 1896

General Dept -

The above decision
may be communicated to
the Dy Comm^r - I am
writing to the Resident.

Munc. Deptt.

The accompanying plan may be returned to the Dep. Cmr. & Munc. Presdt. of Bangalore after retaining ~~Copy~~ note of his order No 5-13 & 904 dd 11 Feb 96 — The prohibition to build in therein mentd. is for the convenience of the Golf Club & shd. be duly observed —

16.2.96

Sec. Copy of the order is retained as directed

Dy 19/2/96

Secy

On the eve of my departure on tour Bangalore.
Settled with the D.C. the following points

1) Westward Ho boundary wall
2) Reservation without buildings of lands N of Nulla & Golf Ground
3). Grant, subject to the conduction of some Banjar, to Hon'ble Subramani Iyer adjoining land bought by him from Mallay
4) Common private road for use of Desiraju Brs, Ramachandra Iyer & Subramani Iyer.

Please ascertain from Mr. Narasimha Iyer d.o. ~~the~~ whether these matters having been finally settled and if not why not?

16-3-96.

33

Bangalore
19 March, 1866

My dear Mr. Srinivasiengar,

I was not present when the Secretary left on tour, but the Vice President was, besides Mr. Purnaya.

The enclosed memo. from Mr Srinivasaraw gives you the required information on the points mentioned in the Secretary's Memo. which you favoured me with a copy. 3 of the 4 matters were disposed of: the 4th about a road is pending with Mr. Subbarayan & Mr. Sreekantiah Nayar, I believe.

Yours sincerely
[illegible]

I must further have record my doubt & uncertainty regarding a constitutional question which they seem to raise. The subject of this case formed the subject of a resolution by the Dewan & the Council, and the last settlement which is called "an amicable settlement is not quite in accordance with such resolution. Thus the resolution of the Council which was agreed to by the Dewan was subsequently ~~altered~~ altered altogether and the final decision of Govt was a resolution of the Council not the subject of

I am not quite convinced
of the regularity of this procedure
and wish to do nothing
more than record my
opinion of it - which may
be placed upon the Record
for such notice or action as
he may be pleased to take
thereon.

12/5/96

37

Municipal or petite

× × ×

" Did you receive an application from Bosh- -ché a month ago for the return of the original papers filed by him in re the boundary dispute of 'Westward Ho!' He tells me he wants the papers back urgently please see that they are returned to him at once "

(Sgd.) C S.
20/12

Received the title deed of Westfield subsequently known as Westward Ho! forwarded by General Gosset

Dated 6th Sept 95
110 Ft. Francis Buchanan
Gosset
20-12-97

P.T.O

27/12/1918

" He wants the Sale deed in Return d- to him with receipt thereof; & the others on receipt of reply from Survey Supdt "

(Sgd) K S C
20/12/97

Copy

27/12/918

For the original please see over leaf

The receipt attached herewith

27/12/'18

Mun-
No 95
Pol 1

39

No 957
Pol 45 6/12-7-94

Mun Pol
16-12 The 1st Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore.

Sir

I am directed to forward
for favor of transmission
to the President & Mr
Nakin, the Bangalore Corpn
noted on the margin

I have &c

18/7 Genl Secty.
18/7/95.

No: 12 of 15th July 1895
from the President Bangalore
City Municipal Commission
to the President & Mr
Nakin, Bangalore

No 1162 dt 20-7-95
Pol 47

Encl

Journal
No 95

The Asst to the
Resident in Mysore

Sir

In continuation of this
office no: 957 dt 18/7/95
Pol 45 I am
directed to forward
for favor of transmission
to the Resident of this
date, the communication noted on the
margin

I have &c.

W
Secretary
20/7

17 dt 20/7/95 from
Resident of Pudukottai
relative to the Resident
in Station

Gen. 30.]

2023.

1895/95 B6

From Lt 1st Asst to the Resident in Mysore

L 613/2

The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 3rd Sept/95

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No 4

General No. 3571

No.

Forwarding certain papers from the Brigade Major Commanding the Station regarding the interference of the Municipality in the matter of a well of his compound.

References to be marked.

H.G.C.P.B.—4 12-95—3000

No. 35-11 Encs 4.

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

TO

The Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 7th *September* 1895.

SIR,

The Brigadier General Commanding the Station has forwarded through the Resident the enclosed papers which I am directed to transmit.

2. The Resident is unable to express any opinion upon the matter but in view of the high position occupied by the General and the extreme inadvisability of direct and unnecessary complications with the Municipality the Resident has not hesitated to transmit his representation in the assurance that you will give the matter due Consideration and

satisfy yourself that no illegal actions
taken by the Municipality or unjustifiable
annoyance caused to the tenant of the property
in dispute

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

K. S. Erskine Captain,
First Assistant Resident

Rec'd 5 15 PM
7-9-95
Eskine

7/9/95

Gen. 30.]

1895
2054

From The Resident

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 9th Sept 95.

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No. 5

General No. 26-18.

No.

Forwarding in Continuation this No. 3511 of 7th inst further papers received from Brigadier Ford Gosset in connection with the House occupied by him in the Hijli ground

References to be marked.

M.G.C.P.D.—4-12-95—3000

No. 3518 Encs 5

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 9th September 1895.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. 3511, dated the 7th instant, I am directed to forward some further papers (5) received from Brigadier General Gosset in connection with the house occupied by him on the High Ground.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

K. Erskine Captain,

First Assistant Resident

Include in the papers to go to the Resdt. to-day

9/9

Included in the draft-despatched. GVJ 9/9/95.

No 3424 9.9.95
Pol 104

Mun'l
No 95
5

Jas Hart Esq

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will cause to be posted as to Kindly cause the prescribed ten days notice of the application for the City Council Licence letter forwarded with this Office Nos 957 Pol 45 and 1162 Pol 47 dy respy 18th & 20th Inst. as they are required to dispose of the reference contained in your letter, 3511 of 7th Inst; regarding the boundaries of the house called Westwood Leo Lyon

9 Sept 1895

mmk
16-9-95

No: 3448
L+68-95 9/9/95

To

Encl: as per accompanying statement.

The ~~Resident~~ Resident
City Municipal Corporation
Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copies of the letters No 35/1/87 dated 9-8-95 from 43818 the Municipal Engineer, together with its original enclosures, and to request that you will be so good as to submit at your earliest convenience as possible a full report of the facts of the case mentioned, together with the entire record thereto connected.

Yours

9 Sept 1895

General Secy

regarding the ~~Eastern~~ Southern ~~Boundary~~ boundary wall of the house of Seshachala Mudaliyar.

⊗ to be returned when no longer required

References received today from Head quarters are submitted as desired
Dy 2/10/95.

Ask the Asst. to deposite his report called for on 9th Inst. Ibrm
4/10

Gen. 30.]

25-90
Pol: 360.
Mysore
27-9-95

1895

From The First Assistant
to the Resident
To The Dewan
The ~~Chief Secretary to the~~
~~Govt.~~ of Mysore.

Dated
Despatched 25/9/95.
Received

Enclosure No.

General No 3816
No.

Stating that the President of the Bangalore city municipality has already been informed by the President of the C & M Station municipality that there are no records in his office, relating to the acquisition of the road to the north of "Westward Ho".

References to be marked.

M.G.J.P.B —4-12-95—3000

49

No. 3870

FROM

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 25th September 1895

SIR,

Referring to your letter N° 3424-Pol.-104 dated the 9th instant, I am directed to state that the President of the Bangalore City Municipality has already been informed in letter N° 785 dated the 29th July last from the President of the Civil and Military Station Municipality (forwarded through this Office under endorsement N° 2947 of the 30 idem) that there are no records in the Office of the Station Municipality in connection with the acquisition of the road to the north of "Westward

Occupied at present by General
Gosset C.B.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obed.t Servant

Henry Clarke
Jun.r Lt. Assistant Resident

Put up refer
Letter
27/9 B.K. Grange
Please send papers again.
27/9/75

(General Serial No 31.)

1895

OFFICE OF THE
8066
22 OCT 1895
RESIDENT OF MYSORE

From The President
City Improvement Commissioners
Bangalore

To The General Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 18th October 1895

Despatched 21st

Received

Enclosures No. 22 + 4 files

General No. 52
No.

10

Subject

Submitting report of the settlement of the Southern Boundary of "Westward Ho" now occupied by General Gossett.

L 7
22.10.95

To The Dewan
24/10

Put up with file 5 of 1895 in which the Commissioners have submitted a report on the subject of the settlement of the Southern boundary of "Westward Ho" now occupied by General Gossett.

The work in my opinion is the most desirable & Enquiry must carefully be conducted into this matter by a Committee of the Council (by Board) hearing the owner, if necessary, giving him reasonable notice of such hearing. The result may be drawn in the form of the usual judgment in such Cases, but need not be actually published me till I have had an opportunity of seeing it and meeting & deciding with reference to the Resn. letter

17/11/95

3/12/95

Referring back to the 1st & 2nd Councillors
18/11

Seen. Please let me have it on return for the books after I have seen it. Any Memorandum of the case & Reports
18/11

Returned

We have heard the views -

As from the remarks of the Dewan we gather that it is his intention before the whole matter discussed in his presence the drawing up of the decision of Govt may not be delayed till then. Meanwhile An inspection of the site by all of us may not be undesirable.

[illegible]

5 Dec/95

W Dewan -

I am asked that the judgment in the Case of Pulle & Co be drawn up and Mr C. de Sarem to [illegible] without much

[illegible]

10/12/95

12

Offices will submit for orders in Sample when required. The members of the Committee will like to see the Sample before it is sent to the Printers

17/12/95

[illegible]

18-12-95

Secy to Tax Commr

10/12/95

[illegible]

City Municipal Office
Bangalore
Dt/ 18th October 1895

No. 52
Enc: 22

From.

The President
City Municipal Commission
Bangalore,

To,

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Your No. 3448 dated 10th Ultimo Enclosures returned with
L.F. 68-95
calling for a report of the settlement of the Southern Boundary of "Westward Ho" now occupied by General Gossett and beg in reply to forward Memorandum of the Vice President furnishing full particulars. The entire file is also submitted in which is contained my order dated 16th August 1895 bearing on the subject. Attention has to be solicited only to certain en

main details.

(1) According to the title deeds of the property in possession of Sésha-chelam Mudaliar, the boundary now marked out cannot be disputed. This he himself admits.

(2) He therefore prefers to advance his claim on the evidence of the Survey records.

(a) the land is kharab and no assessment is paid by him.

(b) the Survey map is not correct because it has not been corrected after the road was made.

(c) Survey measurement was in 1869. Settlement was made in 1892 Road was made about 1870, after Survey measurement and before settlement nevertheless the map was not corrected at settlement or since.

(3) Records connected with the acquisition

of the land for the road are lost. Loss of records has been the Chief cause and only cause for any hesitation in this otherwise clear case. The original records connected with the making for the first time of the Cunningham Road are not forthcoming as stated above.

Then the records connected with the issue of the present license had been lost, and have been however now produced after I was about to punish the whole Municipal Office for this. I trust that the entire record con-
-nected with the license is now forthcoming. I cannot be certain of this, as there is no perish or list.

Then again the records connected with certain trees on the road margin in question have been lost and are not yet traced, and the records so far as they are forthcoming show that on two occasions the trees have been sold and proceeds credited to the Municipality

(4) The simple 56 facts appear to be these
The Survey record shows the extent
as at the time of the original measure
-ment. The extent shown in the title
deed or conveyance deed in possession
of Seshachalam Mudr: shows what
the Bungalow extent really was after
the road was made which therefore
was given in the document.

Other circumstantial details
given in the Vice President's Memo
and other papers on the file conclusively
prove that the road margin is now
built upon.

The road is an important one
and any encroachment however
slight has to be discouraged and
prevented

In the end I should remark
that if sufficient care had been
taken at the onset before issue
of license, this subsequent
diffiulty would not have

not have arisen.

I now have to state with reference to the construction of the latrine that the Vice President found it built without license and permission as laid down in the Regulations, and that it is in objectionable proximity to the Driller's Tank Feeder and lastly that it is on Municipal ground which does not belong to Seshalkelam Moodr.

Vice President has deferred prosecution out of respect to General Gossett as he says he ordered its construction. After the settlement of this appeal he will have to be requested to have it removed.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

K Srinivasa

For President 18/10

On the 2nd March 1895 General Gossel wrote to Mr Muyaet Ali intimating his desire that his landlord Sheshaphalla Modiliar should build a wall at the edge of the cross road that runs south of his Compound.

The ground where Sheshachalla Modr wishes to erect a wall is admittedly between his hedge and the road, which is abundantly clear from the said letter of the General as also from the existing state of things and records.

The General's letter of the said date shews a desire on the part of Sheshaphalla Modiliar to enclose this piece of ground. and it is concluded apparently with a recommendation that Sheshaphalla Modr might

might or should be allowed to
erect the wall.

From this letter of 2d March
last it seems evident that Mr.
Shujaat Ali was written to on
the subject, presumably at the
request of Sheshaghalle Mudiliar
who must have anticipated
even then that to newly enclose
the ground and to erect a wall would
probably be opposed by the Municipal
authorities. That this piece of ground,
which is clearly a margin of the
road, was being used for purposes
of depositing road materials is
also apparent from the letter in
question.

Mr. Kooppoosawmy Naidu,
who was attending to the duties
of the Vice President who was on
leave at the time, was sent to
make a local inspection, about

which

which a note appears on the letter bearing the date 4th March/95

Mashulhalla Mohiar made a formal application to the President on the 8th March requesting the issue of a license to erect a Compound wall forming the Southern limit of his premises No. 4.

Mr. Coppoosawmy Naidu, to whom this application was referred owing to the absence of the Vice Presdt on leave, was of opinion that a license might be issued for the erection of a Compound wall "within the limits of the applicants Bungalow"

But before the issue of a license the Vice President returned to duty and thought it necessary to ascertain the measurement of the premises through the Bungalow Builder

On the 16th March 1895 Mr. Shadakshara Modiliar submitted a plan of the ground, referred to in his application of the 8th idem, with a petition to the address of the President who referred the same to the Vice President. The order of the Vice President for measurement being taken was passed on the 20th March.

From the Bangalore Taluk Work Inspector's Report dated 24th April 1895 (which was subsequently found to be defective and not accurate on local inspection) it seems that Mr. Shadakshara Modiliar expressed a desire to him to push the line of his proposed wall even beyond the limits of his survey number, and up to a point only 3 or 4 feet from the side drain of the road.

On receipt of the said report through the Amildar a license No 224 dated 14 May was issued to the Petitioner for purpose of building a Compound wall within the limits of Survey No 20 as shewn in red ink on the map sent by the Work Inspector.

While the foundation was being laid the Vice President noticed an encroachment on Friday the 14th June - and the work was accordingly stopped at once.

When the ground was inspected by the Vice President the petitioner stated that he was building, according to the place given him, and that if he had to give up the land he would be put to inconvenience. The Vice President mentioned to him that Considerations might be shewn to him if he readily Consented

to put back the foundations in a line with the hedge. But the petitioner did not choose to do so.

Subsequently the President inspected the place on the 30th June and found that the Work Inspector's measurement of the number was not satisfactory. The President accordingly directed the Amildar to get the Thippani of the Survey numbers from the Survey office and to remeasure the number.

After the inspection of the spot by the President on the 30th June a written notice was issued to Meshaphalla Rodrigues on the 1st July intimating to him the cancellation of the license dated 14th May pending the ascertainment of the actual measurement of the premises mentioned in his petition of 8th March. A professional Mozinidar was accordingly

accordingly deputed to the work. From the report of the Mozinidar dated 8th July 1895 the question of a regular encroachment of the Municipal land can be seen free of all doubt.

On receipt of the Mozinidar's said report a requisition was made to the President of the Native Municipality through the British Resident for the papers, connected with the acquisition of land for making the Cunningham Road, as the southern limit of the Survey No. 17 has exceeded the old hedge which is in a line with the gate-post and Kitchen.

The Native Municipality in reply, stated that the papers required could not be traced out. It is therefore difficult to say at this distance of time when the road now called Cunningham Road

and mentioned in the title-deed of Meshachalla Modiliar was actually made and how much land was acquired for the purpose.

In the meantime the General wrote to the President on the 25th July Complaining of non-issue of a license to his landlord Meshachalla Modiliar and stating that his landlord was required to go to the President with the letter and his title-deed. In this letter the General says that he found the measurement of the Western boundary of the premises as given in the Sale-deed to be Correct.

The Sale-deed was examined. The Vice President was required to go to the spot personally and take the measurement. This was done by the Executive Engr, and the boundary as described in the deed of Sale was

was clearly pointed to the petitioner. When it was distinctly made known to him that, according to his own title-deed, the land about which he was so fighting could never be considered as his property and that it has always been the property of the Municipality he began to seek support from the survey measurement in order that he might not lose all that he claimed to get in the first instance. But this method of contention can hardly help the Petitioner who never thought of the survey measurement until his own title-deed was found to be against him. He does not now choose to rely upon his title-deed which in the first instance he produced to support his claim, and which he

he has been endeavouring to discard since it was found to disappoint his Calculations.

After this, he seemed to have again revived his petition to the General who forwarded the printed memo of the petitioners in the matter of his said claim.

From an examination of the plan prepared by the Mlozinidar it can be seen that even according to the Survey boundary there has been a clear encroachment, viz 2½ feet tapering into ½ a foot by 429 feet. This slice of ground, which is measuring as above is a part of Survey No. 17 which is marked as Kharab in the Khayatwar. No Khatedar's name is entered in the Khatedar Patrak and no assessment is paid thereon.

On the southern side which is now the matter under consider-ation, a piece of land measuring 7½, 17½ and 16 × 429 is the present subject of encroachment. Thus is the ground referred to in the letter of the General and on which road materials used to be stacked by the Municipality as referred to in the said letter of the General.

The avenue trees which stood on this piece of ground which is a margin of the road were sold by public auction in October 1892, and the sale proceeds were credited to the Municipality. No objection was ever raised to the sale of the trees or to their removal.

As regards the allegation in Para V of the printed memo

of

of the petitioner, he has produced no papers whatever to show that any payment of Compensation was made for the land taken for purposes of making "the new road leading to the Infantry Lines." Nor is there anything to show that the ground in dispute was ever in the enjoyment of the petitioner or his deceased father.

Under the circumstances the Municipality can hardly permit any encroachment upon the land, the retention of which is so necessary for public purposes, present or future.

1879

Through the Resident of Coorg

From

Brigadier General Gosset -
Com[d]g Bangalore District

To,

The Dewan - Mysore State

Bangalore - 6th Sept 1895.

Sir,

I have the honour to appeal to You on behalf of my Landlord Sachu Madaliar in the matter of a Wall which is in course of Erection on the South Side of the Compound, in which the house I occupy

After many delays the Municip Surveyor was sent by the President of the Pettah Municipality, under instruction from the Deputy to trace out the line the Wall is to take.

This line, as marked out, will deprive the Landlord of a portion of his land which of his family for 25 years -

Feeling that a Wrong was being Committed, I asked Sachu Madaliar to Cease the Whole case made

attached herewith

I have very little to add to this Statement, which puts the points in Dispute in a clear light, but I would beg to Emphasize the facts Contained therein & setting aside the Question of right or wrong of the Municipality, to point out that up to the present time no Question has been raised on the subject.

There is another matter which has become a part of that of the boundary. viz; the [illegible] of the Latrine at the back of the Stables.

Some time ago, the Sanitary Inspectress answered brought to my notice that the Latrine was not properly kept & was generally unsanitary. I mentioned this to my landlord & told him to have it put into order. This in time of this was done, & the landlord was thus requested that he should be prosecuted for having the Latrine without proper Certificate. I attach

a copy of my letter on this
to the Press. - marked

But it will be observed on
reference to the plan inclosed
that the land in marked out
on the 17th August last, is so traced
as to exclude the new Latrine, thus
my landlord will not
of a slice of land which
Contends is legally his, but also of
a building erected in order to Comply
with Municipal Sanitary

Finally, I consider, that to
deprive the landlord of any portion
of his grounds is not only illegal,
but an act of spoliation. I
appeal to you that You
will be good enough to take the
matter into Consideration &
insure that justice is done

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your obed Servant
Margaret Pfeiffer

Copy

From Blues Grant
To The Vice President
Pettah

Bungalow - 14 August 1875

Sir

My Landlord Mordeller informs me that he has received a letter from You, in which You state, that a complaint by plaintiff is given within three Days of his having built on his property - "Westward Ho" now rented by me, without from the municipality in this Pa.

Permit me to state that sometime ago the Sanitary Inspector reports that the Privy was in bad order & that unless it was seen to I was liable to prosecution

I accordingly, Landlord, & told him the Privy must be rendered

Privy Vault - It was begun some
months ago, & not finishing it
When I returned from leave
at the End of June, I told Sachachellus
Mandellis that it must be finished
without
It was thereupon at my request
& in order to Comply with the
Sanitary Regulations that the
work was done
My landlord informs me that
he was not aware, & I certainly
it was necessary
to obtain Sanction to a work
which did & may with a reasonable
prospect to May
the Municipality & it seems to
me Contrary to all the principles
of justice, that, Either he or I should
be prosecuted for Doing our
best to Conform to the Regulations
& he has Leave to build
Quarters here in the Camp
or Duck Flats or any other
buildings additions to that

It is now, I could

of first getting sanction,

but it can never be retained

that such regulations

to this

IN-RE House and Grounds known as WESTWARD HO!

Proprietor—V. SASHACHELLUM MOODELLIAR.

In Re-action of the Bangalore City Municipality as to the erection of the compound wall on the southern side, facing Cunningham Road.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE CASE—

The house known as Westward Ho!, and occupied at present by General Gosset (the General Commanding the District), was formerly the property of Mr. Richard Hartwell James, who, on the 16th day of April 1870, sold the said property to the late Mr. V. Arnachella Moodelliar (the father of the present proprietor) by public auction.

II. Prior to such sale by public auction, the said house and grounds were surveyed by the Revenue Survey Authorities in the year 1869, and the said grounds were marked and delineated, and registered as No. 17 in the Revenue Survey Plan *(Vide Plan marked A and hereunto annexed.)*

III. By the said survey of 1869 the area of the said grounds was found to be, and registered as, ten acres; boundary marks (stones) were fixed, and the measurements on the cardinal points were taken and duly recorded.

IV. As exhibited in the said Survey Plan A, the property No. 22 adjoins the property No. 17 (Westward Ho!)

V. Previous to the said survey of 1869, the Government desired to make a road between the properties, Survey Nos. 17 and 22, and consequently acquired a portion of Survey No. 22 for that purpose by payment of compensation, and thereafter made what was then called "*The new road leading to the Infantry Lines.*"

VI. It may here *en passant* be remarked and in order to support what is stated in paragraph V above, that even in the description of the boundaries of Westward Ho! in 1869-70, the Southern boundary is given in the Deed of Sale *(Vide Exhibit in original marked B hereunto annexed)* as "*The new road leading to the Infantry Lines.*"

VII. The Revenue Survey Office have now, at the request of General Gosset, had the Survey No. 17 (Westward Ho!) resurveyed, and an enlarged plan thereof prepared *(Vide Plan marked C hereunto annexed)*, whereby it has been found that the boundary marks (stones) are correct, that the measurements are correct, and that the present area (ten acres) is correct—all these corresponding exactly with the previous survey of 1869 - but that the wall, now in course of erection, is about two feet out of, and beyond, the boundary on to the Revenue Survey South-Western Stone. This, it is submitted, is due to the fault on the part of the Municipality in their plan, as furnished to the Proprietor, and, in accordance with which, he was directed and required to build the said wall, and as will hereinafter be shewn.

VIII. With reference to the wall now in course of erection, it becomes necessary to consider the following facts and circumstances :—

(*a*) in or about March 1895, General Gosset, the tenant of Westward Ho

as aforesaid, wished that a compound wall should be erected on a portion of the southern side of the grounds facing Cunningham Road, and extending about 120 feet in length from the western gate to the *Semethangee* (or stones erected for the resting of burdens carried by villagers, coolies and others). An application was made, and a plan submitted, by the Proprietor, and permission accordingly granted by the Municipality for the erection of the said wall;

(*b*) on the 14th day of May 1895, the Proprietor was furnished by the Municipality with the licence No. 224, together with a plan, prepared in the Municipal Office, requiring and directing him to build the proposed wall in accordance therewith (*Vide Licence and plan marked D and E* respectively and hereunto annexed);

(*c*) in the said Municipal plan, E, are delineated the exact site and line whereupon the said wall was required and directed to be built;

(*d*) thereupon the Proprietor, in strict accordance with the Municipal plan, E, caused the foundations for the said wall to be excavated and had the basement therefor built in;

(*e*) on the 14th day of June 1895 the Proprietor was, however, by a notice in writing (*Vide Notice marked F and hereunto annexed*), required and directed to stop the work, and it was further therein stated that the site would be inspected the following morning;

(*f*) accordingly, the next morning the Vice-President, with other Municipal Officials, inspected the site, and, finding that the wall in question was being erected in strict accordance with the Municipal Plan, E, they appeared to be satisfied, but the Proprietor was solicited to put back the wall a foot or a foot and a half, inasmuch as the President desired to widen the road, and the Proprietor was further informed that, if he complied with this request, compensation would be paid to him for the expenses incurred in the removal of the foundations and for the new excavations;

(*g*) accordingly, on the following morning, the Municipal Engineer marked out the line whereon the wall should be erected, but he omitted to intimate what he had done to the Proprietor;

(*h*) no further communication was, however, in the meantime made by the Municipal Authorities to the Proprietor, who, on being requested by General Gosset to complete the wall, solicited permission on the 30th day of June 1895 to proceed with the work of building up the said wall, but instead of complying with this request, the Proprietor, on the 1st day of July 1895, was, by a notice, informed that, owing *to certain inaccurate statements in the Municipal Working Inspector's Report, the License No. 244 D, was cancelled, pending the receipt of a Report from the proper Surveyor (Vide Notice marked G hereunto annexed)*;

(*i*) a Surveyor (the *proper Surveyor* it is presumed) however, subsequently inspected the site, surveyed it, took measurements, and submitted his report, to the effect, it is believed, that, taking the breadth of the wall in course of erection, the measurement exceeded by about two feet the line drawn from the Revenue Survey Southern Boundary Stone, fixed near the gateway of Westward Ho!;

(*j*) no further communication having been made to the Proprietor by the

Municipal Authorities, General Gosset wrote to the President, requesting him to allow the said wall to be built up. To this a reply was received, requesting the Proprietor to produce vouchers, if any, in his possession, to substantiate his claim to that portion of the land on the Southern side, whereon the compound wall was being built;

(*k*) the Proprietor, accordingly, waited upon the President with the deed of sale, B, which was inspected by the President and referred to the Vice-President, who also inspected the document;

(*l*) on the 16th day of August 1895 the Proprietor received a notice from the Municipality, to the effect that the site would be inspected the following day, when the boundaries would be demarcated in accordance with the description and measurements given in the Deed of Sale, B, which document the Proprietor was requested to produce, and also himself to be present (*Vide Notice marked H, and hereunto annexed*);

(*m*) on the morning of the 17th day of August 1895, the Proprietor was present at the site with the Deed of Sale, B, General Gosset was also there, when Mr. Tivari, the Municipal Engineer, arrived and stated, that as he was directed by the President to demarcate the land in accordance with the boundaries and measurements given in the Deed of Sale, B, he would proceed to carry out his orders and do so. At this time the Proprietor declined to be bound by the measurements given in the Deed of Sale, B, and, which measurements he urged, were not conclusive, they having been given apparently approximately by the Auctioneers. It was further urged by the Proprietor, that the boundaries and measurements found and taken by the Revenue Survey authorities, and as shown in the enlarged plan, C, prepared in the office of the Superintendent Revenue Survey, were the proper documents by which the boundaries and measurements should be decided. These documents were at the same time produced by General Gosset for the inspection and consideration of Mr. Tivari, who, although he made at the time a tracing of the plan C, nevertheless expressed his inability to accept and act upon it without orders. But, as a matter of fact, Mr. Tivari did not even follow the boundaries and measurements shown and given in the Deed of Sale, B, Mr. Tivari began, as it appeared to the Proprietor, in a very arbitrary manner, but on what bases or data it is difficult to say, to measure from the Revenue Survey Southern Boundary stone near the gateway of Westward Ho! towards the east in a straight line along the southern boundary by the side of the kitchen up to a distance of 725 feet, and thence at an angle towards the north in a straight line up to a distance of about 100 feet thereby cutting off a portion of the latrine and a large slice of the ground belonging to Westward Ho!, which is certainly within the Eastern boundary, thereby considerably lessening the area of the Survey No. 17, and also, thereby excluding 75 feet on the Southern side as per the Revenue Survey measurements. Why Mr. Tivari should have made these measurements it is hard to conjecture, unless it be presumed, that, because the Deed of Sale, B, shows the measurement to be 725 feet, therefore nothing over 725 feet should be allowed to, or be considered in favour of, the Proprietor.

(*n*) on the 20th day of August 1895, the Proprietor had received a notice No. 53, dated the 19th day of August 1895, in Canarese, from the Municipality, requiring him to build the wall within 90 days' time on the sites and lines as marked out by the Municipal Engineer on the 17th day of August 1895 (*Vide Translation of Notice 53 marked J and hereunto annexed*);

(*o*) the Plan referred to in the above notice has not, however, as yet, been received, although applied for by General Gosset in his letter to the President of the Municipality. (Since received).

The above are briefly the facts and circumstances in connection with the acts of the Municipal Authorities.

IX. It would be as well here to compare the measurements in the Deed of Sale B, with those given in the Plan C.

	B	C
(1) North to South along the Bellary Road...	404 feet	400 feet
(2) West to East on the Southern side ...	725 feet	800 feet

X. The Deed of Sale, B, may, and as indeed it does, as shown above, contain erroneous measurements, taken and made, as it must be assumed, by the Auctioneers. The Proprietor cannot therefore be bound thereby. The property is sufficiently described in the Deed of Sale, B, for the purposes of identification. But surely, it is only equitable and proper to go further back than the Deed of Sale (in 1870) and to take and accept the Survey measurements (made in 1869), as the true and correct measurements.

XI. Moreover, this fact must not be lost sight of, namely, that the Proprietor and his predecessor in title (his father) have been in absolute and undisturbed possession and enjoyment of the extent of land as shown in the Revenue Survey Plan C, (ten acres) for over the period of 25 years.

XII. A consideration of the following circumstances will go further to establish and strengthen the contention that the measurements in the Deed of Sale, B, are inaccurate, and cannot be held to bind the Proprietor:

(*a*) after the purchase by auction of the said property as aforesaid the Proprietor's father had on the 17th day of December 1870 received a *Putta* for the said property from the Government on the Revenue side (*Vide Putta marked K* hereunto annexed; and this Putta was, as ist herein declared, to hold good until the Revenue Survey Authorities had finally settled about the law;

(*b*) candayem was levied by, and paid to, the Government, up to the 21st day of February 1872, for the said property (*Vide Receipts marked L and M* hereunto annexed);

(*c*). the Revenue Accounts, called *Tippan*, *Panibhand* and *Faisel Patrike* kept in the Taluk Cutcherry, show that, from the very beginning, the acreage of the said property was ten acres (*Vide Tippan marked N* and herewith filed); and,

(*d*) the Survey Settlement was made in 1872–73, from which time the Revenue authorities ceased to levy candayem on the said property and made the same over to the Municipality.

XIII. Now, if the Government had possessed any land in the neighbourhood adjoining the grounds of the property, Westward Ho!, on the Southern side, then perhaps there might be some ground for contending that there has been an encroachment. But, as herein-before shown, there was no Government land whatever on the Southern side of the property, Westward Ho!. When land was required by the Government for a new road on that side, the same was acquired and taken from the property, Survey Number 25, (in 1869), and now Survey Number 22, and compensation therefor was fixed and granted. The Municipal Authorities appear

however to have overlooked and ignored this very significant circumstance and in a very high-handed and arbitrary (nay, illegal) manner, to have attempted to restrict the Proprietor to the measurements approximately given by the Auctioneers in the Deed of Sale, B, in the face of the Revenue Survey Plan, C, and measurements made and taken by duly constituted authorities and by so competent an Officer as Colonel J. P. Grant.

XIV. The area of the property, Westward Ho!, is ten acres, and if it can be shown (as undoubtedly it can be shown) that, in order to obtain this area, the measurements on the Western side must begin or end with the Revenue Survey Boundary Stone fixed near the gateway of Westward Ho!, and that the measurements on the Southern side must begin or end with that same Revenue Survey Boundary Stone. Where then is the encroachment? How do the Municipal Authorities allege an encroachment? On what data, are the measurements taken and made by the Municipal Engineer, based? It will be observed, that, when the measurements in the Deed of Sale suit his views, he takes them, ignoring the Revenue Survey measurements, and, when the latter measurements suit him, he takes them, ignoring those in the Deed of Sale. Surely this on, the face of it, is absurd.

XV. Thus, it is more than evident, that there has been no encroachment whatsoever. The Municipal Authorities, under one President (Mr. Mir Shujayet Ali Khan) were fully satisfied as to the plan prepared by them in their own office, and accord permission by the grant of a licence for the erection of the compound wall. Under another President the plan is condemned, the licence cancelled, the work of building up the wall is stopped, and the tenant, General Gosset, is subjected to considerable inconvenience and annoyance, although there has been voluminous correspondence on the subject, and the Municipal Engineer had inspected the site in question several times (more than half a dozen) in order to take measurements as it was believed. And the matter of the continuation and completion of the compound wall is in *statu quo ante*.

XVI. Then arises the question—the very important question—as to whether the Municipal Authorities can override, or set aside, the Revenue Survey plan and measurements prepared and taken so far back as 1869. Such an outrageous proposition cannot for a moment be conceded, for, otherwise, the titles (for they are titles good in law) conferred under, and by virtue of, the Revenue Survey Plans, especially with reference to boundaries, measurements and areas, are likely to be set aside at the whim and caprice of successive Presidents of the Municipality from time to time and at all times.

XVII. The Proprietor will, of course, certainly decline to build in the manner he is required to do by the Municipality, for, should he do so, he would thereby lose a very considerable portion of his land, and as will be evident on an inspection of the line marked out by the Municipal Engineer.

XVIII. It is submitted that the Revenue Survey Plans and measurements must prevail: and that the action of the Municipal Authorities is ILLEGAL AND ULTRA VIRES.

Annexed to 81 C — 1895-96 Special File —

Correspondence relative to the erection of compound wall to the premises known as Cisbrook No 14 in the Bellary Road, C&S, on plot belonging to Municipal Office)

Date	No of the letter	Particulars	No of pages
8/3/95	304	Copy of petition from V Seshachella Mudaliar	1
16/3/95	306	do — do —	1
		Diary Extracts from the Register Books of the Municipal Office	3
1/7/95	1	Notice issued in the name of V. Seshachella Mudaliar from the Municipal Office	1
8/7/95		Report from the Bungalow Taluk Treasurer Ramachendra Rao to the Vice President	3
Date & put in 16/7/95			
23/7/95		D.O. letter from the Vice President to the President	1
		English Memo on the subject	2
25/7/95		D.O. letter from General Gossett to the Dy Commissioner	
26/7/95		Report from the Sub Overseer S. Immayappa to the Vice President with Copy of (enclosure) report dated 19/12/94	
8/8/95		English Memo from the Vice President with (enclosure) from report from Sub Overseer Immayappa	7

	82	dated 26th July/95 and rough sketches four and a pencil note showing the boundaries of the premises

11 2nd March 95 " Major Gl Gossett's D.O.

12. 8th do. " Sethachellam mudaliar's application for permission to build a wall

13. 16th do " do do's application forwarding a plan.

14 do ----- Plan filed by Sd Moodr

15. 28th March 95. 853 Vni Presidents' Yadi & the amildar of Bangalore

16. 24/4/95. Work Inspector Venkatés Shamarao's memo.

17. 24/4/95 1335. Bang. amld Yadi No. 1335 Plans.

18 . . .

19. 31st July/95 Memo No 354 ~~Dated~~ from the Deputy Commr " with Ex Engineer's Memo No 65 d/12/8/95

20 - 15/8/95 From the Manager Lesly Mt Office, with rec'd Presidents Report d/30/18/95.

21. 28/8/95 One Memo from the Manager

22 - 10/9/95 One application from V Shashachella Moodr with copy of Notice No 68

Mis Regulations 304

Copy of petition received from
G Seshachellam Moodr Dy 8th March

I beg to request the favor of your
permitting me to erect a Compound
wall on my land alongside
of the north of Cunningham Road
and forming the Southern limit
of my premises No 4. Bellary Rd
occupied by General Gossett who
has desired the wall to prevent
passers-by Committing a
nuisance on the land in

question

84

question.

Soliciting the favor of an early sanction.

sd/ V. Seshu Chellum Moodr.

Copy
RG

I inspected the spot. There is no objection for building a compound wall within the limits of the Applicant's Bungalow. License may be granted The note of the Brigade General Commanding Bangalore Distt. is enclosed.

Reptd

85

From

V. Shashachella Nicodr.

Register No 506

In continuation of my petition dated 8/March 95 I beg to submit herewith a plan of the ground whereon I have requested permission to build a wall

16/3/95.

Copy

Send this also to Talook Sot K Surundu

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
853.	29/3/95	[illegible] 4 [illegible] $\frac{3913}{8.\ 304}$ [illegible] 22 6 [illegible]	5

ಇಸವಿ 1895 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ತಿಂಗಳ

87

ಕಿಸ್ತಾಂ ಇಸವಿ

1335 24/4

[illegible] 9-4.-

	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible] 853

1895

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1-7-95.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Memo

91 Y. 6

Dear Sir

I sincerely
regret I have not
been able to trace out
the papers connected
with the history of
the Company made
of "Mohamed Ali".

I handed over the papers to Mr. Simcox & he remarked having spoken to the Talukdar manager. I have sent for him to Read & Read the papers as

Easley room as I
find it.

With best wishes
Yours most obediently
R Fortescue

23/4

P. R. Pennington Esq.
Resident

Memo

On the 5th March 1895
Mr Seshachella Moodr
applied to the Deputy
Commissioner for the
grant of a license to
build a Compound
wall to premises No 44
on the Bellary Road
This was forwarded
with a DO from Mr
B. Coopoosamy Naidu with
the remark that he in-
-spected the spot and
that there was no ob-
-jection for building
a Compound wall with-
-in the limits of the
applicants Bungalow
and that the license
may be granted

This was received
the Municipal
on the 21st March
after the return
the permanent
President on leave
Mr. Serhachella
again wrote for
license enclosing
plan of the ground
on which he propo
building the Compo
wall. This was recei
in the Municipal
on the 22nd March
Mr Serhachella the
application with
enclosure was sen
on the 29th March 9
the Taluq Amildar
with a request
the boundary of

number, may be demarcated.

On the 24th April 1895 the municipal sent the work Inspectors Memo on the subject. This was received in the Municipal Office on the 29th April 1895

On the 14th May 95 license was granted to build the Compound wall on the limits of Survey No 20 as shewn in red ink on the map sent by the work Inspector.

The Vice President noticed on Friday the 21st June the encroachment, when the foundation was being taken.

The work was at once stopped I inspected the place on the 30th June and found that the work Inspectors

the assessment of
members to be carried
factory and directed
the Auditor to get
Thispresent of the Sum
Members from the
Survey Office and
re-measure the mem
When this was do
it was found on
that there has be
an encroachment
shewn in the accom
-panying plan.
Also I found th
the old hedge was
behind the new fe
ations for the co
framed wall I h
to the Civil and Mi
Station President
proper Course

with the acquisition
of the land for the road.
This has not been re-
-ceived a reminder
was also sent. On re-
-ceipt of papers I shall
be able to know what
extent of land was
taken for the road
and can then finally
dispose of the petitions.

Continued. That Sabin Mowry in laying the foundation of the wall has exceeded his limits encroached on ground which belongs to the Gas Co of Wayne

I have just measured the West boundary with the Witness Case with my new measuring tape - according to the deed the length of this side is 40.4 feet. I found that the foundation of the wall is laid exactly eight

I had it so laid across
the line which was drawn
by the working Inspector &
Continued from there to
the in an alignment to the
Boundary Stone which I am
told was laid down by
Govt ~~extraordinary~~ Survey
some 20 years ago -

I cannot see therefore
Why the Wall may not be
built -

I have asked Sir Lu

62

Ready to take this letter
to you with the dear ones
questions & I hope that
you will be good
enough to see that this
vexatious delay is put
an end to —
Kindly reply to this as
soon as possible.

Yours faithfully
M. Gaskell

To

The Hon Treasurer

City of Launceston

Campaign

Sir

I beg to submit for your information the Annual Survey of Metal at Vacant Ground & Work done North side of the Launceston Road at 4 & 5 Feet deep. The above Land Metal heaps measured by Park Ranger Campbell Esq in the Year 1893 & 94 The Grand Contract by Contractor Walker & Chairman & measured by me the Road Ground where used for Public uses for Sewerage & other purposes at present there are many heaps of Metal Ground & Gravel & Plans of the same will be submitted in report about 12 months hence.

Dated 19/12/1894.

Signature

Park Ranger

26/7/1895

V. D. Ref.

124 D/
19/12/94

Letter No & date

Copy of the Report

/1894

Sir

Letter No & date

—

/12/1894.

Beg to report that on my inspection this day I found that Salakha ~~Hadha~~ Mod has been Cut-down four Mango trees by the side of Sumpitam road on my Enquire to produce the Licence to Cut down Mango trees obtain by the Rights authority, for which he replayed that I submitted into petition to the Municipal office, upto date no replay so I start to Cut the trees for which I request Your honor to inform the matter to the Vice President.

G. Moniliyappa
Cut adarer

26/7/1895

Memo

On the forenoon of the
27 July Mr Sebastiaan
Modikwa came at
my place & showed
me the registered do-
cument Evidencing
the sale of Mastwandi
to Johs Pretorius. I
noted down the
measurements of the property as given
in the document & explained
the Executive Engineer to
verify them on the spot.

On the Bethany Road

There is a wall defining
the Edge of the Property
& the measurement
given in the document
is 404 ft and it now
measures 403 ft

On the North the measurement
given in the document
is 84 ft & up to the compound
wall of Mr Rangachari's home
it measures 84 3 ft.

On the East the
measurement along
the compound wall of Mr Rangachari's
home (excluding the feeder
channel head marked in blue
on the sketch) the measurement
as given in the document

on the South the
boundary measures 225 ft
as given in the document.
The old Lantana hedge
in a line with the Kitchen
outer wall and the hedge
between it & the fence
indicate the old
boundary line. The
Lantana hedge to the
west of the Kitchen
along the Cunningham
Road seems to be an
Encroachment. The
Vacant land along the Cunningham Rd
between
the old hedge & the road
Reserve does not come
within the

and is therefore clearly
municipal property.

The Public Works Dept Overseers
charge of the Dn have now
reported that the vacant ground may
be used for heaping road materials.

I regret the delay in submitting
this memo. I could not do it earlier in
the absence on leave & even now the
President may see how Shabbir the
one I now enclose has been followed up.

The Shabbir has now been signed by the
Engineer as he is on leave.

A Sominabad

10. 30 am 8/5.

To

The Vice President
City municipal Council
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to report that the road materials were usually stocking towards the north side of the Cunningham Road ie 3rd & 4th furlongs and I was using such materials to road works.

Bangalore
26th July 95

Subordinate









28/3/95

Vice President
City Council

Virginia — 2 March 1864

Dear Wife

I am happy to state that my health is Sabin Monday 9th?

Rained a Wall at the Edge of the Creek that runs S. of my Campound — the Engineers are that there is Some difficulty as regards Cutting down trees — also

Is insisting as to send
the approach to my house
on this side. Shall
feel much obliged if You
will use your influence to
allow him to erect this
wall as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully,
J. Matthews.
B. Lewis
Com's Bangalore &c

Gl. No. 3913, 23

P. 304

To

The President

City Municipality,

Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to request the favour of your permitting me to erect a compound wall on my land along the side of the north of Cunningham Road and forming the southern limit of my premises No 4 Bellary Road occupied by Genl Gosset who has desired the wall to prevent passers-by committing nuisance on the land in question.

Soliciting the favour of an early sanction,

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant

V. Sashachellumpoo

Bangalore

8th March 1895

Roger Rose

Send this to
Dalrich + ask the
American Legion
Headquarters to forward
the number

Ray
2/13

306

To

The President Secretary &
Assessors
City Municipality
Bangalore City

Sir,

In continuation of my Petition dated 8th March 1895 I beg to submit herewith inclosed a Plan of the ground wherein I have requested permission to build a wall.

Praying for early sanction

I beg to remain,
Sir

Your obedient Servant.
V Sashachellum Mudr.

Bangalore
16th March 1895







1150

3.

Date 24. 4. 95.

K. Venkatesh Ramaiah

Work Inspector

Bangalore Taluk.


TRIBUNAL OFFICE
833
29 APR. 85
BANGALORE


BUNGLOW
S. No. 17
Golf Ground
Pallavaram Road
Gate
Kitchen
Stabling
Side drain
To Cantonment
Side drain
S. No 22
NEW BUNGLOW









Gl. No: 9



No 354

Memo

The Vice president City Municipal Corporation is requested to call upon Mr Srivari to account for the papers Entrusted to him relating to the License Granted to Mr Balu Naoor to erect Compound wall to the South of the Bungalow Called "Westwardho" and submit his Explanation early

Bangalore dis 31 July 1895

Dy Commr's office

Dy Commissioner

To, The Vice-President
City Municipal Corporation,
Bangalore

Letter Ender for Early attention

mmd 1/8

4

No. 65

Gl. Ltr: 881

(7) Memo.



Letter received in the Head Office this day 9 mm 15/8

As explained to the Vice President more than once, the file of papers was received by the Undersigned (after inspection of the spot by him, in company with Lieut Cooppoosamy Naidu & Sheshadri Naidu) on the morning of Saturday the 22nd June/95, for lockspitting a line as agreed upon that morning. Accordingly the Undersigned lockspitted the line on the morning of Sunday the 23rd idem, & reported in person to the Vice President on Monday, when the papers were also handed back (in office?) The Undersigned also remembers having sent the papers to the V. President on Monday morning, when he went out on inspection with the President, past the Race Stand towards the Public Offices.

2. It should be noted here that it was usual to receive papers in person from the V. President & return them similarly, after attending to any simple requisition — unless a report was required as in the case of the papers connected with the dispute at the sites sold out of the old Fuel Coal Chokram, disposed of at the last meeting Board.

Submitted
K.J.
16/8

W. W. Evans
1/8/95.

in certainly grieved
reason.
I cannot account
the papers having
been found in the
carriage box in the
way it was alleged
to have been found.

Now as the papers
are found I would
recommend that
the offer be warned
for the temporary
disappearance of the
papers.

A Fonnereau
30/8

Report.

Mr Francis in his explanation (with the enclosures) states the loss of papers which he the same handed to Mr Peshachalle Mudr for binding the compound mall to the South of Westward & says that I gave the papers into his hands & that he remained leaving given them to the office. If he had handed over the papers to the Manager he was

Sir

I beg to submit that I
may be transferred to some
other office as I find it dangerous
to serve in the Mail Office for
reasons explained in person

I beg to remain
Your most obedt
Servant
R Cumberlen
Manager
City Mail Office

15/8/95

Memo

I beg to state that on the 15th Instant while going on with the annual report the Vice President wanted Detroit rules. I went to fetch it; on turning up a few papers in my office hand box I was thunderstruck to find a quarter sized cover containing a bundle of papers with words "Seshachalu Moodi's papers" written in big letters in blue pencil on the cover.

This, I showed to officials there and immediately handed over the cover to the Vice President. Mr. Tiwari also was seated in the officers room then and on being questioned by the Vice President he said that that was the papers.

The following will prove that it was never with me but that it had been purposely thrown into my

tin box.

The Papers ———————— concerning licenses are disposed of in the Moonshi Department. I was sick from the 12th to the end of June The license Papers in Seshachalla Moodi's case appear to have been given to the Vice President and changed hand in this interval It was handed over to Mr Twiam by the Vice President & it is evident from his Explanation that it was with him about the 23rd June.

I returned to my work on the 1st July and it was a day or two after, if I remember right, the Vice President wanted this Paper. I enquired in the Moonshee Department and in records, as it was not found the Vice President ordered to search for it in the table of all the clerks. This was accordingly done and my table, tin box, and two others were examined by the Record Keeper Arlappa. in my presence The paper was not to be seen anywhere This was reported to the Vice President

From the above it is plain that the papers were not given to me as I was sick when it changed hands and how it crept into my box (where it was not found when search was made in it) and where the shadow of doubt lies I leave it to be judged by Your goodness.

I explained these circumstances to the Vice President and represented that my position would be more critical if any such circumstances should happen again as I cannot keep my table and boxes locked always when I go to the officer with Papers and this would mar the reputation ^ I have earned in the course of 24 years' service. I put in an application (enclosed herein) requesting to transfer me to any other Department The Vice President sympathized very much and returned it to me.

Submitted through the Vice President

Manager 28/8/95

133 Gt. 392

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
RECEIVED
10 SEP 1895
BANGALORE.

To
The Secretary to
the Municipal Board
City Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to enclose a copy of the notice received on the 5th inst from the City municipality and to state in reply that from the papers in my possession and from the demarcation of the land already made and since verified by the Revenue Survey Department there has been no encroachment of land whatever.

The bit of land referred to and that on which the erection of the Compound wall so commenced are included within the measurements of the Compound of "Westward Ho".

Owing to the obstacles put by the Municipal authorities in the building of a compound wall at the "Westward Ho" and in consequence of the urgent demands of the General Gossett who occupies the buildings matter has had to be referred to the Govt. this the British Resident in Mysore.

I beg to remain to
Sir
your obedient Servt
Washabelle

10-9-95
Bangalore

List of papers connected with the West Ward Ho

Docket No 12 Dated 15th July/95 from the Vice President

/ One D C. Dated 20th July/95 from the President, to the Vice President

/ One Do from the President, to Brigadier General K M Gasset Dated 20th July/95

/ One Do Dated 20th July/95 from Brigadier General K M Gasset

/ On Do Dated 20th July/95 from the President, to the Vice President

/ One Brems Dated 20th July/95 from the President

/ One Docket No 17 Dated 20th July/95 from the Vice President

/ Proceedings of the President city Municipality Dated 16th August/95

/ One notice No 68 Dated 3rd September/95 from the Vice President

/ One Canarese Hukum No 248 Dated 3rd September/95 to the Sanitary Inspector

G.O. No. 724

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
R No 3x2/2
BANGALORE

Proceedings of the President & City Municipal Corporation Bangalore.
In re the Compound wall of "Westward Ho

The loss of the original records connected with the first issue of this license and the loss or not-tracing of the records connected with the making of the road (Cunningham Road) are two circumstances, the first tending to create suspicion and the second depriving us of one of the chief facilities for the correct solution of the question involved namely. Where the road margin ends and where the exterme boundary line of the property joins it This has therefore to be determined from the available evidence, which however is very clear and ample.

The former hedge which is shown in Mozamdar's sketch is a factor which cannot be ignored

and must indicate original causes which located it there Facts stated further on show that this hedge almost accurately - I might even say - accurately marks the true boundary on this side of the property "Westward Ho".

The Municipality has been stacking road materials on the space intervening between the road and hedge. This is seen from the reports of the Several Sub-Overseers of this Division.

If the hedge was not the extreme limit of the Compound of this house - such use of this margin would have been disputed

The manifest sciscience and sufferance of the hedge beyond a certain margin on the road side and the use of this margin for the benefit of the road must both lead to inference that all to the South of the hedge belongs to the road -

It should therefore be examined whether proofs in possession of the owner of the house confirm or disprove this. The Vice Presidents Memo clearly shows that measuring according to measurements given in the purchase deed produced by the owner of the house, the boundary line coincides with the hedge now standing above the Kitchen house and the clumps of lantana standing at intervals marking the line. Further it is shown that a very large encroachment has been made of as many as 72 ft. by 18 ft. at the South East extremity and joining the point thus determined, as shewn in the Sketch drawn by Dr. Tiwari, the line of the hedge is again shewn to be correct.

Vice President should under these circumstances mark out personally the line on which

the wall or hedge should be placed, freeing the road margin without delay. The triangular piece in the South East corner found out to be encroachment should be resumed, after calling upon Mr. Seshu Moodliar to shew cause against it.

Papers relating to the final disposal of report of Sub Overseer regarding the trees should be submitted.

Mr. Tiwari's explanation for the missing papers should at once be submitted.

The Survey Record shews no Akar to this number 17.

M Bucanagar

President
City Municipal Corporation
Bangalore

Bangalore
16th August 1915
17th "

Road Sub Overseer
Report
3/5/15

Carriage
Draw attention
to Bangalore
Sub Officer

To

The President

C & M. Stn. Commissy.

Dated 15th July 1895

No 12. Encl.

I has the honour to request that the records ~~requirements papers~~ connected with the deposition of the road to the north of Westward Ho (the General's ~~reference~~ evidence) when may kindly be sent for reference.

Draft by

President

Genl. Serial No 28.]

1895/96

Thro' the General Secy:-

~~From~~

To The Presdt.
Coorg & Mysore
Major Hall
Bangalore

Dated 20th July/95

Despatched

Received

General No. 17 } 189
No.

Enclosures—Number

I/C 12 dated
15th July 1895

Subject

Requesting to send
the records connected
with the acquisition
of the road to the
Westward

I has the honor
to invite attention
to the communica-
tion as per margin
& to request an early
reply.

Presdt

Immediate

This is necessary
for papers connected
with "Wreckwards
Ho". Please
despatch without
delay following
[illegible]
20 [illegible]

143

Fulle 20 July 1895.

Dear Ida/97

I send you Gossett's letter & my reply for your information. Shes make a fool after my book make you on the subject this morning

Ans

This matter must
now be personally attended
to

Yours truly
J. M. Buchanan
2

K. Brunnemann
Vice President

Dear Sir

In your letter of the 13th. You led me to Expect a very early Reply to my request about the Wall.

A Work has been Passed since You Wrote

I cannot see Why

there should be any
delay I am prepared
to address the Senate
on the subject thereafter
the President.

Yours very truly
P. Walthall

20 July 1895

Dear Sir,

Since writing to you, I have completed the investigation so far as I could from departments under me. I cannot finally decide the matter till some papers applied for from the President Civil and Military Station, are received. I have again written for them and I assure you that not a day will be lost in replying to you finally as soon as they are received. I have to report the matter to the Dewan who has enquired why the encroachment was permitted. My report to the Dewan also is delayed on account of the information to be got from Station Municipal Office I regret I should not have had all the records connected therewith. In their absence my conclusions cannot surely be right. Your landlord can help me in arriving at a decision at once if he will produce all papers

that he has to prove his claim.

Yours very truly

Sd P. N. Purnanja

Brig. General

R. M. Gosset

Bangalore

20th July/95

that he has to prove his claim

Yours very truly

Sd P. N. Purnaiya

Brig. General

R. Hs. Gossett

Bangalore

20th July/95.

Dear Sir,

Will you please send a reminder for papers connected with "Westward Ho"?

Has the contractor reduced his rate?

Mr Divari promised to try & persuade Messrs Brassey & Co to run R.S. Rly & the Bridge.

Yours truly,

Colvin

ಇ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ನನಗೆ ಬಹಳ
ಸಂತೋಷವಾಗಿದೆ ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ
ಸುದ್ದಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

1892/93 I.P.R No 16

To

Regd No 302
1893

The President
City Municipality,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I beg respectfully to remind you of my petitions dated 24th October 1892 and 3rd Dec 1892 praying for permission to cut the old trees and plant new ones in my land north and South of Cunningham Road and adjoining the bungalow where the Division General is living.

I respectfully pray that you may be pleased to grant me early permission to carry out my work which has been long delayed.

And will Ever pray

Beg to remain,
Sir,

Your most Obedient Humble Servant

V Balaram

Bangalore
15th August 1893



1892/93 S. R. No 16.

Read 24/

Whatever the &c

To

The President
City Municipality
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg respectfully to bring to your notice that the narrow Strips of land bordering on the North and South of Cunningham Road and adjoining the Bungalow in which the Division General is living, form parts and parcel of my Land Survey No. 22 in which I purpose building a Bungalow and that there are 14 Mango trees in the said Strips of land.

It would appear that two of the trees in question being found quite dried up, the Municipality have sold them this morning.

I therefore pray that the said Sale may be cancelled and that you will kindly grant me permission to Cut down all the trees in question and to plant new ones in their stead.

For which act of Kindness and justice I shall in duty bound ever pray.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your obedient humble servant

V Balaw Rao

Bangalore
24th October 1892

1/95

1/2

15-3

1/9/93

14/1

1117

293

Vice President-
City Rel Commn

Date 12-10-93

400

ನಂ 235

ದಿನಾಂಕ 12-8-93

1/9/93

14/1

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 234

ದಿನಾಂಕ 12-10-93

280

ದಿನಾಂಕ 20-6-91

22-9-93

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಾಸಂ/ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ/ಪಿಎಸ್‌ಐ/೨೦೨೨-೨೩/೧೨೩೪

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫/೦೭/೨೦೨೨

ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯ ನಿವೃತ್ತಿಗೊಳಿಸಿದ ಆದೇಶ

ಕಾರಣ: ಕರ್ತವ್ಯ ನಿರ್ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಅಶಿಸ್ತು

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಗೌಡ, ಪಿಎಸ್‌ಐ (ಕಾ ಸು), ಕಾಸಂ ಠಾಣೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಗೌಡ, ಪಿಎಸ್‌ಐ (ಕಾ ಸು), ಕಾಸಂ ಠಾಣೆ ಇವರು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೦೩/೦೭/೨೦೨೨ ರಂದು ಠಾಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾಗ ಸಂಜೆ ೭ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಠಾಣೆಗೆ ಬಂದ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಕೋಂ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಅವರ ದೂರನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲು ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಅಸಭ್ಯವಾಗಿ ವರ್ತಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ಆರೋಪ ಕೇಳಿಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಈ ಕುರಿತು ಇಲಾಖಾ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸಿದ ವಿಚಾರಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಆರೋಪಗಳು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿವೆ ಎಂದು ವರದಿ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆರೋಪಿತ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಲಿಖಿತ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಸಮಾಧಾನಕರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿಸ್ತು ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯಾದ ನಾನು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ನಾಗರಿಕ ಸೇವೆಗಳು (ಶಿಸ್ತು ಮತ್ತು ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ) ನಿಯಮಗಳು, ೧೯೫೭ರ ನಿಯಮ ೮(೨)(ಬಿ) ಅನ್ವಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಗೌಡ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸೇವೆಯಿಂದ ಕಡ್ಡಾಯ ನಿವೃತ್ತಿಗೊಳಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-
(ಅನುಪಮಾ ಕೆ. ಶೆಣೈ)
ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಅಧೀಕ್ಷಕರು, ಕಾಸಂ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

3-2-93

244

26/10/92

Rs 1450/-

Credit









List of papers re the Construction of a Latrine in West Ward No

1 Canarese Notice No 249 Dated 6th August/95

1 One sketch prepared by the Munb Ex Engineer

1 One Letter Dated 14th August 1895 from Brigadier General Gasset C B

1 One Letter No 233 Dated 22nd August/95 from the Vice President

1 One Letter Dated 24th August/95 from Brigadier General Gasset C B

Penzance - 24 August 1875

To The Honble Colonial Secretary
&
The Hon. President
City Municipality

Sir

I am acknowledging the receipt
of Your letter of the 22nd Inst (No 233),
I have the honour to state that,
am at a loss to understand how
the fact of a small construction Latrine
properly worked upon, built within
a few yards of the Old Latrine, to which
I have complained of, can affect in
any way the present Claimed of Pullens
tank - The same argument
might apply to all the Latrines & the
houses in the County, & unless they
are done away with altogether, the
Evil you complain of it must,
more always continue

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Most Humble
Servant
[illegible]

Submitted
by
26/8.

Telegram to
proceed to
the Office
received with
9

No 2

To

Brigadier Genl. Gossett
C.B

Date 22nd August 95
23/8/95

Sir.

I have to apologize
for the unavoidable
delay in replying to
your letter of the 14
Instant forwarded
to me by the President
regarding the building
of a new latrine in
the Campanile of
"Westward Ho"
Under the
circumstances detailed
in your letter
reply I shall
proceed to
of the last

Card to prosecute the landlord for him doing without a license.

From the Registered Sale-deed in the possession of your landlord I apprehend that the latrine is built on land not conveyed by the document and its close proximity to the feeder Channel of the Millers Tank is I should think certainly undesirable.

I have &c

by Vice President

No: 894

From

B. Kumaran Esqr

Com.r Bangalore &c

To

The Vice President.

Pettah Municipality

Bangalore - 14 August 1895

Sir

My Landlord Sabha Mudaliar informs me, that he has received a letter from You in which You state that unless a sufficient Explanation is given within three Days of his having built a privy on his property, it will have to be removed by me, / without further reference from the Municipality it will be prosecuted. -

Permit me to state - that some time ago, the Sanitary Inspector of the Lemon Peel Quarter was directed by the Health Officer to see that unless it was done - I was liable to prosecution -

I accordingly spoke to my Landlord & told him

the defects must be remedied
& a new privy built -

It was begun some months
ago & not finding it finished
when I returned from leave
at the end of June, I told
Sabin Mackellar that it must
be completed without delay.

It was thereupon at my request
& in order to comply with
the Sanitary Regulations that
this necessary work was done.

My landlord explains
to me that he was not aware,
& I certainly was not, that
it was necessary to obtain
Sanction & a Mark which
did away with a nuisance
brought to my notice by an
Agent of the Municipality,
& it seems to me to be contrary
to the principles of justice

that Either is or should be
prosecuted for doing any act
in Compliance to the Regulations

If we find ourselves called
upon to serve in the Campaign
or Direct Stakes or any other
Wedding additions to what
Exist now, I could understand
the necessity of first getting
Sanction, but it can never
be intended that such
Regulations should apply to
the Case in Question

Sam
Sir
Yours faithfully
[illegible]
[illegible]

No: 13

I beg to report - that this morning I went with the Overseer Anthony to Br. General and Requested him whether I was the man he referred to in Para 2. of this letter He was kind enough to say that Anthony was the man whom he meant - (Sanitary Inspector) without knowing the designation. I ordered the overseer a month 3 months ago to bring the head Slide of Servants Lodge in to the Notice of Br. General and Request him to order for the necessary Repairs of the Same. He accordingly. The Servants Lodge is in the Same State as it was before. 18/8/95. K Sundaraya
S. Inspector









[illegible] 15 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 95 [illegible]

[illegible]
...ty has submitted the
...ation thro' the Asst. General
...mitted for orders
20/8/95 K. Vaccadasy

[illegible signature]

Vice President
City Mcl. Commn.
Bangalore

Received 14th August 95
at 3 P.M

V. Sashalachellu

~~...I beg to report that the~~
~~... has not attended to the Condition~~
~~...ice. Hence solicit orders in the~~
~~...tter. 20/8/95 K. Vaccadurgaya~~
~~Sanitary Inspector~~











ಶಾಲೆ / ಪಿಯು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಹೆಸರು: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ತರಗತಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿ: _____

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: _____

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸಭೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 2022-23 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಸಭೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: _____

ಸಭೆಗಳ ದಿನಾಂಕ ಮತ್ತು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಪಡೆದ ಬಹುಮಾನಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭೇಟಿ ನೀಡಿದ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಶಿಬಿರಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರದರ್ಶನಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಇತರೆ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಪರಿಸರ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಕ್ರೀಡಾ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಸಾಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಕ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಇತರೆ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಪರಿಸರ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಕ್ರೀಡಾ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಸಾಂಸ್ಕೃತಿಕ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಕ್ಲಬ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ ಇತರೆ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿ: _____

ಚಿತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಾಣಿಸಿ.

ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು

ಸಿಲಿಂಡರಾಕಾರದ ಪಾತ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರು ತುಂಬಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಪಾತ್ರೆಯ ಒಳಗೆ ಒಂದು ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಗೋಳವನ್ನು ಇಳಿಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಪಾತ್ರೆ

ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಗೋಳ

ಮಂಡಲ್ಯ ಕೃಷಿಯಾಯಿಕೆಗಳು. ಸಿಗ್ನಿಫಿಕೆನ್ಸ್

ಈ ಪದಕೆಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಿಸಿ

ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪದಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಯೋಜಿಸಿ ವಾಕ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಿ









182

Gen. 30.]

Mysore

66-95

1895

From The Genl Secy

~~To~~ "Jeme No" 4326/Pol 597 of 26 Dec 95

To The First Asst & the Asst in Mysore

~~The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.~~

Dated 3rd December 1895.

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 6407

No. 68-95

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No. 4716 dated 25 Ult. re the house occupied by the Brigadier General Comg the Bangalore D. I am directed to state that the question ~~Settlement of boundary of~~ ~~the house in question is~~ receiving careful consideration ~~is professing~~ and that the Darbar hope to communicate the results at an early date

Reminder dftd

~~References to be marked.~~

I have &c

2/12/95 JP

Genl Secy

M

Rec'd 5 15 P.M.

M.G.C.P.B.—4-12-95—3000

183

Notice Issued
4/12/95

mmt Notice
16-95
10

(15)

In the matter of the boundary of a house known as "Westward Ho"

To Dr Seshachala Mudliar
Proprietor of the said house.

Take notice that the above matter will be heard before a Committee of the Council of H. H. the Maharaja at the Public Offices Buildings ~~at~~ Bangalore at 10 A.M. on Thursday the 5th Instant and that you are required to appear before the Committee at the said hour

The 4th Dec 1895

By order

Draft.

M Ramaya Iyengar
Hr Rev. Secretary

M C

4.-12-95

To Sessions Judge
Civil & Military Station
Bangalore

Received 4-12-95 at 7 O.C. P.M.
Yashwanthpur

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
The 4th Dec 1895.

Pet
1062

Official Memorandum (16)

The President of the City Municipality Bangalore is informed that the dispute about the boundary of the Bungalow called "Westwood No" owned by Mr. Seshachalam Moodliar of the Civil and Military Station will be heard by a Committee of the Council at the Public Office Buildings at 10 A.M. tomorrow the 5th instant and that notice of this has been issued to Mr Seshachalam Moodr the Presence of the President on the occasion is requested.

By order
Ramaswamy Ayengar
for Revenue Secretary

Recalled

4/12/19

Submitted

The docket to the President was addressed as per orders of the Councellor.

Title as Submitted

181

Received
16-12-95
Notice

17

In the matter of the boundary of a house known as "Westward Ho"

To Mr Seshachala Mudaliar
Proprietor of the said house

Take notice that the site in dispute will be inspected by the Councillors at 5.30 P.M, to-day, the 20th December 1895 You are requested to be present there on the occasion.

The 20th December 1895

By order

[signature]

For Secretary

Copy sent by Peon Rama
20/12

Office of the Dewan of Mysore
No 20th Dec 1895

Official Memorandum

Mint Pet
No 46-31=1173
Serial No 43

The President of the Cantonment
Municipality Bangalore is
informed that the site of the disputed
~~with reference to the boundary~~
of the Bungalo called Westwood
Ad" owned by Mr Senachala
Mudaliar of the Civil & Military
Station, Bangalore, will be inspected by
the Commissioner at 5.30 P.M today
the 20th Dec 1895 and he is requested
~~The President of the Municipality~~ to be present on the occasion
~~is requested~~ to arrange for
the attendance of the Vice President

He is requested by order
to arrange for
the presence of
the Vice President or Secretary
or other Officer
acquainted
with the facts
of the case —

Section Mysore R.L. no 1924. 18

No. GS 8146 of 19/1/96

L.L. 65-95

Encl: four.

To

The Supdt. Rev. Survey

(2B)

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the accompanying papers marked A, C, N & R relating to a dispute regarding the Eastern & Southern boundary of No. 17 of Malenhalli Village, Bangalore City.

2. It appears this Village was ~~first~~ Surveyed in 1868/69 and Settled in 1872 and the road on the South of No. 17 was made in the interval about the Year 1870.

3. A is the Revised Village map recently prepared by the Dept. ~~& A the Measurers Sketches the Mahs~~

V. is a Copy of Measurers Sketch of No. 17

The Village Map first prepared as well as the measurers first ~~Sketches~~ Sketch will probably throw some light upon the Subject and besides there other Records may be in your office, how to know

* When & where whose authy

Why the boundaries of W 17 ~~both on the East & South~~ ~~underwent any alteration~~ as they existed at the time of the first measurement underwent any subsequent alteration. The Sketches of ~~them~~ Nos 17, 18, 19, 20 & 22 will ~~thereon~~ also be useful to clear up the dispute.

Rept

4. I am to request that you will be so good as to send some Competent official with the above mentioned records for ~~[redacted]~~ inspection at 3 P.M. on 22nd. instant.

5. I am further to request in respect of the following points

(1) The Southern boundary is from many points of view more important than the Eastern one. This boundary as shown in A and C ~~A~~ does not exactly correspond with ~~the~~ what is shown in N as regards the Angles, ~~Does not~~ And

Is this ~~This may be~~ due to ~~changes~~ any change since the Original of N was drawn. ~~Any information~~

(2) Sketch N. shows trees & marks thus + + + along this boundary. What do these marks ~~do~~ indicate C. Shows ~~the~~ only similar marks all ~~two~~ along the boundary What is the difference & Wh

(3) The last named observations apply also to the Eastern boundary - was not the Northern part of this boundary set back some years ago by agreement between the late Mr Rangachariar & the owner of N°. 17

(4) What were the buildings now marked with a red hamer in N.? ~~Does~~ Do, Either of them exists now - ~~was not the more Southern~~ ~~One~~ "

(5?) Topiwala was party in present N°. 22 & party in present N°. 17 at time of measurement

Is there any info about the boundaries of this "Thorina Hole"?

(6). Did the Mun?, between 1868 & 1872, ask your Dept to ~~obtain the maps~~ Redemarcate No. 17 with refce to the New Road made on the South of it? and if so with what particulars?

Yrs
18/1/96.

Jas Leigh
MM

Jas Leigh.
When the Survey Officials Come on 22nd ask him to Come to me at my residence

Yrs

193.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

Bangalore, 24th January 1896.

No. 8568.
C.F. 68-95

From The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore

To

Brigadier General M. Gosse
Commanding Bangalore District

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 6th September 1895 to the address of the Dewan, forwarded to the Resident of Mysore; and in reply to inform you that a careful enquiry has been made regarding the boundary line as it existed at time of survey between lands nos. 17 and 22 of the Malenhalli village. This enquiry tends to show that the true position of the two bound stones now pointed out on the south no 17 has not as yet been correctly fixed and that the other stones shewn on the original survey have not yet been discovered or their position identified, nor did the Surveyor who

some measurements at your instance take into account some transfer of land from the north-east of No. 17 on to the No. adjoining it on the East. It is easy to understand boundary stones put just outside a boundary hedge but not 15 and 16 feet outside it

2 I am to append for your information an extract of Colonel Grant's letter on the subject If under the circumstances your landlord agrees to erect the new wall on the old hedge line, the Municipality will be at once ordered to let this be done and to abandon all disputed on the south-east of No. 17. If he decides not to agree to this, the Darbár will order a complete re-Survey of No. 17 and connected numbers and it will be carried out as quickly as possible and the final decision of Government given immediately thereafter.

24/1/96

I have [redacted] honor to be,
Sir—
Your most obedt Servt
WM 24.1.96

General Secretary.

Compd WM

(1) because a stone to stone is the dividing line, & if a hedge already exists, the presumption is that it is on a person's own land, and we have therefore to put our dividing line on the outer edge of his fence.

---

(2) There is no record of any alteration to N boundary of N° 22.
If there had been any alteration duly notified to the Survey Dept., a correction would have been made on the original map and printed copies & a remark entered as to N° & date of order authorizing the correction

(3) Yes. — Plant Wheat allowed for road 14 feet, & for foot-path 4 feet (It appears

to be more a carriage drive
than footpath.)

Yours truly
Wm. Blanchard
Asst. Supt.



1897

Section Mumt
File No 46 — 95 —
Vol. 46

No. 56
D

7/2/96
Accpd 7th by

The Resident in Mysore

Sir

In reply to yr Memo No. 3511 of 7 Sept '95 I have the honor to inform that on the 5th instant I was able to effect an amicable settlement of the Southern boundary of Westward ~~Ho~~ now tenanted by the Brigadier General Commanding the Station, in a way satisfactory to all parties interested

Yours
7/2/96

96

No. 7583
68-95
8/12/96

To

Section Mun
File No Mc 95
Serial No 1

The President of the
Bangalore City Mu

Sir,

With reference to your
18th October 1895, No. 52, submitting
a report on the settlement of
Southern Boundary of West
now occupied by Brig:
M. Gosset C B, I am
communicate to you the
decision of the Government
has been accepted by the
owner Mr Sheshachalla Mud

(1) The boundary line
begin at the Eastern angle
the Southern post of the SW
and proceed in a straig
line to the centre of the Wes
post of the South gate; and fr
the centre of the Eastern p
the South gate to the SW c
of Mr Raghava Charlu's
following the present line
hed

S. M. P.
Write to the
Municipal Commr for
15/2/96

M M. Please see once more
if the rough draft is with you I presume
When compared this with Under
Secy's draft
DMJ 15/2/96

Sir,
I made a careful search among
my papers. The rough draft is not forth
coming. I have placed it along with
this draft when given for company
P. S Please see among your
papers DMJ 15/2/96
Sir I have no papers with me bearing
on the subject
DMJ 15/2/96
General Please see among your
papers DMJ 15/2
Sir Secy. The draft is not among my papers
15/2

hedge generally, the hedge being set back where it is irregular.

(2) A suitable wall to be built by Mr Sheshachalla Mudr., the height of which should be within the prescribed maximum.

(3) As regards the design, Govt would impose no conditions but will leave it to Mr Sheshachella Mudeliar himself, who has promised to follow in this matter Mr Subrayer's advice.

(2) I am to request you to give the above settlement immediate effect.

I have &c

17/2/96 for [illegible]
~~General~~ Secretary

DMJ 13/2

I believe the file is in the L B Branch.

L B Branch for reference. (Sd) V R 3/12/97

Section Miscl

File No. 16-S/B 189

Serial No. 1927

Name of Petitioner Sas

Village

Taluk Bangal

District

Date of Arzi 2.12.97

Date of Receipt 3-12-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

Requests that papers presented him in connec with the erection the Compound his bungalow ore by the Brigadier G may be returned him.

C.E.K.
4-12-97

Sub-Office No. draft

To,

C. Srinivasa Iyang
General Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysor
Bangalore.

Sir,

I request that you
be so good as to return
papers presented by me in
Connection with the Erec
of the Compound wall
my bungalow occupie
the Bregadier General.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your Most obed
Sashachellum

Bangalore
2nd Dec. 97

202

mund
16-95 3
20

To
The Superintendant
Revenue Survey

RL 1252
6823
L-768-95
9/13/12/97

Has the honor to request that the Supdt of the Revenue Survey will do good ~~enough to~~ ~~return~~ forward to ~~be returned~~ the four enclosures forwarded to him with this office No 8146 dated 19th January / L-768-95 in connection with the settlement ~~of~~ of the ~~claim~~ "Westward Ho, awarded to Mr V

law Ind.

~~by the name of "Westward Ho~~

By order

20/12/97

for Secretary

bll
9 12 97

2-3 Mund. L 1371 32

Gen. 3/16 - 95

1896

5668
4-1-98

21

From The Supt. Mysore Red Survey

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 31st December 1895

Received 3rd January 189

General No. 416
No.

Enclosure No. 4.

L 3778
4/1/98
Enclosures returned
to Seshachala Mood
This may be filed
Dy 6/1/98

Secretary's letter No. 81
L. 68
of the 18th January
1896

Sir

I Have the honor to re-
turn herewith the de-
comba ying Papers
marked A, C, N & R re-
lating to a dispute
regarding the Easte
and Southern bou

survey of portion of Vadenahalli village,

Bangalore City

Yours &c

Supdt Mysore Rly Survey





20"
Scale 4 in

Contents 10 Acres.

H. Jones

Surveyor.

SUPPLEMENT.

Since the previous papers were printed two notices, bearing date the 3rd Instant, have been received by the Proprietor from the Office of the City Municipal Board. The translations into English with the originals of the said notices, are hereunto annexed and marked with the letters O and P.

The Exhibit N referred to in the previous printed papers was omitted to be annexed and it is therefore now annexed hereto.

A communication, No. 70, dated the 4th Instant, from the Mysore Revenue Survey Office is herewith submitted for consideration and marked with the letter R. This document conclusively shows that there has been no encroachment and as conjectured by the City Municipal Authorities.

No. 87.

From

The Office of the City Municipal Board.

To

V. Seshachellam Moodelliar,
Residing in the Civil and Military Station,
Bangalore.

With reference to the building of the compound wall of your Bungalow No. , situate in the Bellary Road, a Plan, duly prepared and signed in accordance with the License No. 53, and dated the 19th August 1895, is hereby sent to you. The wall must be erected beginning from the outside Southern pillar of the Western Gate and in alignment with the kitchen wall towards the East, and in accordance with the line marked out for you.

(Signed) K. SRINIVASA ROW,
VICE-PRESIDENT,
City Municipal Commission.

3—9—95.

No. 68.

From

The Office of the City Municipal Board, Bangalore.

NOTICE.

V. Sashachellam Moodelliar, residing in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, is hereby informed as follows:—

You are hereby required within (7) seven days from the service of this Notice upon you to show, without fail, and in writing, good and sufficient cause as to why the encroachment of a triangular piece upon the land made by you on the South-Eastern portion of your Bungalow in Cunningham Road known as Westward Ho!, No. should not be resumed by the Board.

(Signed) K. SRINIVASA ROW,
VICE-PRESIDENT,
City Municipal Commission.

3—9—95.